1 BEFORE THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL 3 4 In the Matter of Application No. 2003-01: EXHIBIT 32 SUP(DP-T SUP) 5 SAGEBRUSH POWER PARTNERS, LLC; 6 KITTITAS VALLEY WIND POWER PROJECT 7 8 9 10 APPLICANT'S PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY 11 **WITNESS # 13: DANIEL PITZLER** 12 13 14 Q Please state your name and business address. 15 My name is Daniel Pitzler and my business address is 1100 112th Ave NE, Bellevue, WA 16 A 17 98004-4505. 18 19 Q Is this testimony given to supplement your prior testimony? 20 21 Yes 22 23 What is the specific purpose of this supplement to your prior testimony? Q. 24 25

EXHIBIT 32 (DP-T) - 1 DANIEL PITZLER PREFILED SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY DARREL L. PEEPLES
ATTORNEY AT LW
325 WASHINGTON ST. NE #440
OLYMPIA, WA 98506
TEL. (360) 943-9528 FAX (360) 943-1611
dpeeples@ix.netcom.com

1	A.	My previous testimony regarding tax revenue and socioeconomic impacts has changed as a
2		result of new legislation passed in the last legislative session, and changes in the size of the
3		proposed project.
4		
5	Q.	How do these changes affect the tax revenue estimates provided in your previous testimony?
6		
7	A.	Current estimates prepared by the Economic Development Group of Kittitas County are
8		that the project would pay approximately \$1.6 million in property taxes in the first year.
9		Because of state property tax increase limits, this would result in approximately \$500,000
10		in additional property tax collections for Kittitas County taxing districts (such as the
11		County, schools, roads hospital, fire), with the remaining \$1.1 million resulting in a
12		reduction in the taxes paid by others for the services provided by those taxing districts.
13		
14	Q.	How does the change in project size affect your previous testimony regarding other
15		socioeconomic impacts?
16		
17	A.	During preparation of the EFSEC Application, the socioeconomic impact estimates were
18		developed under the assumption that 110 turbines would be built with 165MW of electric
19		generation potential during peak wind periods. The project is currently planned to include
20		65 turbines with the potential of generating between 117 and 149.5 MW. Thus, the
21		socioeconomic impacts would be somewhat less than originally estimated (roughly
22		proportionate to the change in project size).
23		
24		
25		

a